UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

STEPHEN GRAY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 9790 (SHS)

٧.

V.

CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC.. THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1 - 20,

Defendants.

SHAUN ROSE, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1 - 10,

Defendants.

MEREDITH TRANBERG, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated:

Plaintiff.

٧.

CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1 - 20,

Defendants.

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10294 (DC)

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10341 (UA)

ANTON RAPPOLD, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10396 (UA)

٧.

CITIGROUP INC., CITIBANK, N.A., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN: and JANE DOES 1 - 10,

Defendants.

SAMIER TADROS, on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

٧.

CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES O. PRINCE, C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAIN J.P. BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T. DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, : ROBERTO HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, ANN DIBBLE JORDAN, KLAUS KLEINFELD, ANDREW N. LIVERIS, ANNE MULCAHY, RICHARD D. PARSONS, JUDITH RODIN, ROBERT E. : RUBIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, FRANKLIN: A. THOMAS, JOHN DOES 1-20 (BEING : CURRENT AND FORMER MEMBERS OF THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC.) and JOHN DOES 21-40 (BEING **CURRENT AND FORMER MEMBERS** OF THE INVESTMENT COMMITTEE OF THE CITIGROUP INC. 401(K) PLAN),:

Defendants.

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10442 (UA)

STEPHAN FIORINO, individually and on : behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10458 (UA)

٧.

CITIGROUP INC., CITIBANK N.A., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1 - 20,

Defendants.

JAMES BOLLA, individually and on behalf: of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

٧.

CITIGROUP INC., CITIBANK N.A., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1 - 20,

Defendants.

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10461 (UA)

MARK GEROULO, individually, on behalf: of the CITIGROUP 401(k) Plan, the CITIBUILDER 401 (K) PLAN FOR PUERTO RICO, and all others similarly,

Plaintiff,

٧.

CITIGROUP, INC., CITIBANK, N.A., THE PLAN ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP, INC., MICHAEL E. SCHLEIN, JOHN DOES 1-10, THE CITIGROUP 401(k) PLAN INVESTMENT COMMITTEE and JOHN DOES 10-20, C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAN J.P. BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T. DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, ROBERTO HERNANDEZ, ANN DIBBLE JORDAN. ANDREW N. LIVERIS, DUDLEY C. MECUM, ANNE M. MULCAHY, RICHARD D. PARSONS, ANDRALL E. PEARSON, CHARLES PRINCE, JUDITH: RODIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, FRANKLIN: A. THOMAS, SANFORD I. WEILL,

Defendants.

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10472 (UA)

ALAN STEVENS, on Behalf of Himself and All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 11156 (UA)

٧.

CITIGROUP INC., CITIBANK, N.A. CHARLES PRINCE, C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAIN J.P. BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T. DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, PETER JOHNSON, ROBERTO HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, ANDREW N. LIVERIS, ANNE MULCAHEY, RICHARD D. PARSONS, JUDITH RODIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, ROBERT L. RYAN, FRANKLIN A. THOMAS, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP, INC., THE INVESTMENT COMMITTEE and JOHN DOES 1-30,

Defendants.

STEPHEN GOLDSTEIN, on Behalf of Himself and a Class of Persons Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff.

٧.

CITIGROUP INC., THE PLANS ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP, INC., MICHAEL E. SCHLEIN, CHARLES PRINCE, C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAIN J.P. BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T.: DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, ROBERTO HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, ANDREW N. LIVERIS, ANNE MULCAHEY, RICHARD D. PARSONS, JUDITH RODIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, ROBERT L.: RYAN, AND FRANKLIN A. THOMAS, And JOHN DOES 1-30,

Defendants.

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 11158 (UA)

CHRIS SOUTHARD, on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 11164 (UA)

V,

CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES O. PRINCE, C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAIN J.P. BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T. DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, : ROBERTO HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, ANN DIBBLE JORDAN, KLAUS KLEINFELD, ANDREW N. LIVERIS, ANNE MULCAHY, RICHARD D. PARSONS, JUDITH RODIN, ROBERT E.: RUBIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, FRANKLIN: A. THOMAS, JOHN DOES 1-20 (BEING CURRENT AND FORMER MEMBERS OF THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC.) and JOHN DOES 21-40 (BEING CURRENT AND FORMER MEMBERS OF THE INVESTMENT COMMITTEE OF THE CITIGROUP INC. 401(K) PLAN),:

Defendants.

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION BY PLAINTIFFS SHAUN ROSE AND MARK GEROULO FOR CONSOLIDATION OF RELATED ACTIONS AND APPOINTMENT OF INTERIM LEAD PLAINTIFFS, CO-LEAD COUNSEL AND LIAISON COUNSEL

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on December 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, Plaintiffs Shaun Rose and Mark Geroulo (the "Rose Plaintiffs"), by their undersigned counsel, will move the Court to consolidate related actions, appoint Interim Lead Plaintiffs, Interim Co-Lead Counsel and Interim Liaison Counsel. In support of their motion, the Rose Plaintiffs aver as follows:

- 1. Currently pending before the Court are a number of class actions seeking relief on behalf of the Citigroup Corporation 401(k) Plan and the Citibuilder 401(k) Plan for Puerto Rico (the "Plans"), and overlapping classes of participants and beneficiaries of the Plans, that detail alleged breaches of fiduciary duty by Citigroup, Inc. and other alleged fiduciaries of the Plans pursuant to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA") with regard to the Plans investment in Citigroup, Inc. stock during the relevant time period.
- 2. Because the above-captioned actions contain many common questions of law and fact, and in the interest of avoiding unnecessary cost and delay, they should be consolidated for all purposes pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a).
- 3. In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g) and the *Manual for Complex Litigation* (4th ed. 2004), the Rose Plaintiffs move for the entry of proposed Pretrial Order No. 1, which provides for the appointment of the Shaun Rose and Mark Geroulo as Interim Lead Plaintiffs, the appointment of Schiffrin Barroway Topaz & Kessler, LLP and Schatz Nobel Izard, P.C. as Interim Co-Lead Counsel, and the appointment of Dealy & Silberstein, LLP as Interim Liaison Counsel.
- 4. Further, the Rose Plaintiffs oppose the *Gray* and *Tadros* Plaintiffs' Motion for Appointment of Lead Plaintiffs and Leadership Structure, and Entry of [Proposed] Pretrial Order No. 1.

The Rose Plaintiffs submit herewith a Memorandum in Support, the Declarations of Joseph H. Meltzer and Robert A. Izard, and Proposed Pretrial Order No. 1.

Dated: December 13, 2007

DEALY & SILBERSTEIN, LLP

By: Milo Silberstein (MS 4637) 225 Broadway, Suite 1405 New York, NY 10007 Telephone: (212) 385-0066

Facsimile: (212) 385-2117

Proposed Interim Liaison Counsel

SCHIFFRIN BARROWAY TOPAZ & KESSLER, LLP

Joseph H. Meltzer Edward W. Ciolko Katherine B. Bornstein 280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087 Telephone: (610) 667-7706

Facsimile: (610) 667-7056

SCHATZ NOBEL IZARD, P.C.

Robert A. Izard William Bernarduci Wayne T. Boulton 20 Church Street, Suite 1700 Hartford, CT 06103 Telephone: (860) 493-6292

Facsimile: (860) 493-6290

Proposed Interim Co-Lead Counsel

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
STEPHEN GRAY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	X :
Plaintiff, v.	: Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 9790 (SHS)
CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1 - 20,	: : : :
Defendants.	: :
SHAUN ROSE, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	: :
Plaintiff, v.	: Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10294 (DC)
CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1 - 10,	· : : : :
Defendants.	: : -
MEREDITH TRANBERG, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated	x : :
Plaintiff, v.	: Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10341 (UA)
CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1 - 20,	: : : :
Defendants.	· · ·
	X

ANTON RAPPOLD, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10396 (UA)

v.

CITIGROUP INC., CITIBANK, N.A., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN: and JANE DOES 1 - 10,

Defendants.

SAMIER TADROS, on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

> Plaintiff, Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10442 (UA)

v.

CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES O. PRINCE, C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAIN J.P. BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T. DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, : ROBERTO HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, ANN DIBBLE JORDAN, KLAUS KLEINFELD, ANDREW N. LIVERIS, ANNE MULCAHY, RICHARD D. PARSONS, JUDITH RODIN, ROBERT E. : RUBIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, FRANKLIN: A. THOMAS, JOHN DOES 1-20 (BEING: **CURRENT AND FORMER MEMBERS** OF THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC.) and JOHN DOES 21-40 (BEING **CURRENT AND FORMER MEMBERS** OF THE INVESTMENT COMMITTEE OF THE CITIGROUP INC. 401(K) PLAN),:

Defendants.

STEPHAN FIORINO, individually and on : behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10458 (UA)

v.

CITIGROUP INC., CITIBANK N.A., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1 - 20,

Defendants.

JAMES BOLLA, individually and on behalf: of all others similarly situated,

> Plaintiff, Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10461 (UA)

v.

CITIGROUP INC., CITIBANK N.A., CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1 - 20,

Defendants.

MARK GEROULO, individually, on behalf: of the CITIGROUP 401(k) Plan, the CITIBUILDER 401 (K) PLAN FOR PUERTO RICO, and all others similarly,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10472 (UA)

v.

CITIGROUP, INC., CITIBANK, N.A., THE PLAN ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP, INC., MICHAEL E. SCHLEIN, JOHN DOES 1-10, THE CITIGROUP 401(k) PLAN INVESTMENT COMMITTEE and JOHN DOES 10-20, C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAN J.P. BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T. DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, ROBERTO HERNANDEZ, ANN DIBBLE JORDAN, ANDREW N. LIVERIS, DUDLEY C. MECUM, ANNE M. MULCAHY, RICHARD D. PARSONS, ANDRALL E. PEARSON, CHARLES PRINCE, JUDITH: RODIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, FRANKLIN: A. THOMAS, SANFORD I. WEILL,

Defendants.

ALAN STEVENS, on Behalf of Himself

and All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 11156 (UA)

v.

CITIGROUP INC., CITIBANK, N.A, CHARLES PRINCE, C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAIN J.P. BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T. DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, PETER JOHNSON, ROBERTO HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, ANDREW N. LIVERIS, ANNE MULCAHEY, RICHARD D. PARSONS, JUDITH RODIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, ROBERT L. RYAN, FRANKLIN A. THOMAS, THE PLANS ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP, INC., THE INVESTMENT COMMITTEE and JOHN DOES 1-30,

Defendants.

----->

STEPHEN GOLDSTEIN, on Behalf of Himself and a Class of Persons Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITIGROUP INC., THE PLANS
ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE OF
CITIGROUP, INC., MICHAEL E.
SCHLEIN, CHARLES PRINCE, C.
MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAIN J.P.
BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T.:
DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, ROBERTO
HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, ANDREW N.
LIVERIS, ANNE MULCAHEY,
RICHARD D. PARSONS, JUDITH
RODIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, ROBERT L.:
RYAN, AND FRANKLIN A. THOMAS,
And JOHN DOES 1-30,

Defendants.

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 11158 (UA)

972749.1 5

CHRIS SOUTHARD, on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff,

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 11164 (UA)

v.

CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES O. PRINCE, C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAIN J.P. BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T. DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, : ROBERTO HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, ANN DIBBLE JORDAN, KLAUS KLEINFELD, ANDREW N. LIVERIS, ANNE MULCAHY, RICHARD D. PARSONS, JUDITH RODIN, ROBERT E. : RUBIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, FRANKLIN: A. THOMAS, JOHN DOES 1-20 (BEING **CURRENT AND FORMER MEMBERS** OF THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC.) and JOHN DOES 21-40 (BEING **CURRENT AND FORMER MEMBERS** OF THE INVESTMENT COMMITTEE OF THE CITIGROUP INC. 401(K) PLAN),: Defendants.

[PROPOSED] PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 1

WHEREAS, the above-referenced actions allege breaches of fiduciary duty in violation of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA") involving the Citigroup Corporation 401(k) Plan and the Citibuilder 401(k) Plan for Puerto Rico (the "Plans"), defined contribution plans established and/or sponsored by Citigroup, Inc. ("Citigroup") as a benefit for its employees;

WHEREAS, the Court has determined that any substantially similar future actions should be consolidated with these actions and that the appointment of Interim Co-Lead Counsel and Interim Liaison Counsel is appropriate and consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g)

and the recommendations of the Manual for Complex Litigation (4th ed. 2004);

I. CONSOLIDATION OF RELATED ACTIONS

1. The above-captioned actions and any action arising out of the same operative facts and alleging causes of action under ERISA now pending, or hereafter filed in or transferred to this Court, are hereby consolidated pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a) ("Consolidated Action"). They shall be referred to collectively as In re Citigroup, Inc. ERISA Litigation, Master File No. 07-CV-9790 (SHS).

II. **CAPTION OF CASES**

2. Every pleading in the Consolidated Action shall bear the following caption:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE CITIGROUP, INC. ERISA LITIGATION)) MASTER FILE NO. 07-CV-9790 (SHS)
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:))
)))

3. When a pleading or other court paper filed in the Consolidated Action is intended to apply to all actions therein, the words "All Actions" shall appear immediately after the words "THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:" in the caption set out above. When a pleading or other court paper is intended to be applicable to less than all such actions, the party filing the document shall indicate, immediately after the words "THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:" the action(s) to which the document is intended to be applicable by last name of the plaintiff(s) and the docket number(s).

III. MASTER DOCKET

- 4. A Master Docket is hereby established for the Consolidation Action, including actions subsequently consolidated herein pursuant to this Order. Entries in the Master Docket shall be applicable to the Consolidated Action, and entries shall be made therein in accordance with the regular procedures of the Clerk of this Court, except as modified by this Order.
- 5. When a pleading is filed and the caption, pursuant to this Order, shows that it is applicable to "All Actions," the Clerk shall file such pleading in the Master File and note such filing in the Master Docket. No further copies need be filed nor other docket entries made.
- 6. When a paper is filed and the caption shows that it is applicable to fewer than "All Actions," the Clerk shall file the original of the paper in the Master File and a copy in the file of each separate action to which it applies and shall note such filing in the Master Docket and in the docket of each separate action. The party filing such paper shall supply the Clerk with sufficient copies of any such paper to permit compliance with this paragraph.

IV. MASTER FILE AND SEPARATE ACTIONS

7. A Master File is hereby established for the consolidated proceedings in the Consolidated Action. The Master File shall be Civil Action No. 07-CV-9790 (SHS). The original of this Order shall be filed by the Clerk in the Master File herein established. The Clerk shall maintain a separate file for each of the Consolidated Actions that may be filed and filings shall be made in accordance with the regular procedures of the Clerk of this Court, except as modified by this Order. The Clerk shall file a copy of this Order in each such separate file. The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to counsel of record in each of the Consolidated Actions that may be filed.

V. NEWLY FILED OR TRANSFERRED ACTIONS

- 8. When a case that arises out of the same operative facts as the Consolidated Action is hereinafter filed in or transferred to this Court, it shall be consolidated with these actions as provided in Section I above and the Clerk of the Court shall:
 - (a) File a copy of this Order in the separate file for such action;
 - (b) Send a copy of this Order to the attorneys for the plaintiff(s) in the newly-filed or transferred case and to any new defendant(s) in the newly-filed or transferred case; and
 - (c) Make the appropriate entry in the Master Docket.
- 9. This Court requests the assistance of counsel in calling to the attention of the Clerk of this Court the filing or transfer of any case that might properly be consolidated as part of this litigation.

VI. APPLICATION OF THIS ORDER TO SUBSEQUENT CASES

- 10. This Order shall apply to each class action assigned to the undersigned alleging claims similar to those set forth in these actions and brought on behalf of the Plan and/or participants in or beneficiaries of the Plan. This Order shall apply to each such case which is subsequently filed in or transferred to this Court, and which is assigned to the undersigned, unless a party objecting to the consolidation of that case or to any of its provisions within ten (10) days after the date on which the Clerk mails a copy of this Order to the Counsel of that party. The provisions of this Order shall apply to such action pending the Court's ruling on the application.
- 11. Unless a plaintiff in a subsequently filed or transferred case is permitted by the Court to use a separate complaint, defendants shall not be required to answer, plead or otherwise

972749.1 9

move with respect to that complaint. If a plaintiff in any such case is permitted to use a separate complaint, each defendant shall have thirty (30) days from the date the Court grants such permission within which to answer, plead or otherwise move with respect to that complaint.

VII. ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL

- 12. The Court designates the following Interim Co-Lead Counsel and Interim Liaison Counsel for the Consolidated Action, with the responsibilities hereinafter described:
 - (a) As Interim Co-Lead Counsel:

Schiffrin Barroway Topaz & Kessler, LLP 280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087 Telephone: (610) 667-7706

Facsimile: (610) 667-7056

Schatz Nobel Izard, P.C. 20 Church Street, Suite 1700 Hartford, CT 06103 Telephone: (860) 493-6292

Facsimile: (860) 493-6290

(b) As Interim Liaison Counsel:

Dealy & Silberstein, LLP Milo Silberstein (MS 4637) 225 Broadway, Suite 1405 New York, NY 10007 Telephone: (212) 385-0066

Facsimile: (212) 385-2117

13. Interim Liaison Counsel in the Consolidated Action is charged with administrative matters such as receiving and distributing pleadings, notices, orders, motions and briefs, and advising parties of developments in the case. Defendants may satisfy their service obligations as to all of plaintiffs' counsel under Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(a) by serving Interim Liaison Counsel.

14. Interim Co-Lead Counsel shall have the authority over the following matters on behalf of all plaintiffs in those respective actions: (a) convening meetings of counsel; (b) initiating, responding to, scheduling, briefing, and arguing of all motions; (c) determining the scope, order, and conduct of all discovery proceedings; (d) assigning such work assignments to other counsel as they may deem appropriate; (e) retaining experts; (f) designating which attorneys may appear at hearings and conferences with the Court; (g) conducting settlement negotiations with defendants; and (h) other matters concerning the prosecution or resolution of their case.

VIII. INTERIM LEAD PLAINTIFFS

15. Shaun Rose and Mark Geroulo are appointed Interim Lead Plaintiffs.

IX. SCOPE OF ORDER

16. The terms of this Order shall not have the effect of making any person, firm or entity a party to any action in which he, she or it has not been named, served or added as such in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The terms of this Order and the consolidation ordered herein shall not constitute a waiver by any party of any claims or defenses to any action.

X. PRELIMINARY SCHEDULE OF PROCEEDINGS

17. Following the entry of this Order the Parties shall meet and confer and propose a schedule for (a) the parties' conferring regarding the production of certain Plan-related documents; (b) the filing of a Consolidated Complaint; (c) Defendants' response to the Consolidated Complaint; and (d) a briefing schedule if Defendants file a motion in response to the Consolidated Complaint.

This	day of	 ·		
			U.S.D.J.	

972749.1 12